IOPscience

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Scaling of the thermoelectric power in the $Bi_2Sr_2Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu_2O_{8+y}$ $(0 \le x \le 0.7)$ and $Tl_2Ba_2Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu_2O_{8+y}$ $(0 \le x \le 0.6)$ systems

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1996 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 8 3047 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/8/17/015)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.208 The article was downloaded on 13/05/2010 at 16:34

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Scaling of the thermoelectric power in the Bi₂Sr₂Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu₂O_{8+y} ($0 \le x \le 0.7$) and Tl₂Ba₂Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu₂O_{8+y} ($0 \le x \le 0.6$) systems

J B Mandal, A N Das and B Ghosh

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Sector 1, Block AF, Bidhannagar, Calcutta 700064, India

Received 17 November 1995, in final form 26 January 1996

Abstract. The temperature-dependent thermoelectric power *S* of insulating and metallic Bi-2212 and Tl-2212 samples are scaled to universal master curves. For insulating samples, *S* passes through a peak at a characteristic temperature T_S^* , which decreases with increasing carrier concentration. For metallic samples a scaling parameter α (= dS/dT at high temperatures) decreases with increasing carrier density. It is argued that T_S^* is related to the energy needed for activation conduction whereas the change in α may be due to increase in n/m^* with carrier density, where *n* is the concentration and m^* is the effective mass of the carriers.

The thermoelectric power (TEP) is one of the transport properties, complementary to the electrical resistivity and the Hall coefficient, measured by various groups for different high- T_c systems [1–3]. The TEPs S of high- T_c cuprates have common characteristics. For semiconducting or insulating samples with a low carrier concentration, S is large and positive and its value decreases with increase in the carrier concentration. For metallic samples, S is small and shows an almost linear temperature dependence at high temperatures with a negative slope. For overdoped samples with high carrier densities, S is negative.

Different groups [4–7] have demonstrated that the various normal-state transport properties of high- T_c superconducting samples can be scaled to universal master curves. Ito *et al* [4] have shown that the in-plane resistivity of YBa₂Cu₃O_{7-x} deviates from linear behaviour below a temperature T_{ρ}^* well above T_c . T_{ρ}^* decreases as the oxygen concentration (or the carrier concentration) increases. They could scale the resistivity of all the samples in the doping range x = 0.10–0.55. Hwang *et al* [5] found that the temperature-dependent Hall coefficient $R_H(T)$ in La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ (x = 0.15–0.34) can be scaled to a universal functional form

$$R_H(T) = R_H^\infty + R_H^* f\left(\frac{T}{T_H^*}\right).$$
⁽¹⁾

 $R_H(T)$ is temperature dependent in the low-temperature region and becomes temperature independent (R_H^∞) when T exceeds a certain characteristic value T_H^* . R_H^* rescales the magnitude of $f(T/T_H^*)$. The characteristic temperatures T_{χ}^* and T_K^* may be defined from the susceptibility [8] and the Knight shift [5,9] data where the susceptibility or the Knight shift shows a peak. It is found that T_H^* , T_{χ}^* and T_K^* are close to each other for a fixed doping concentration and decrease with increasing carrier concentration [5].

0953-8984/96/173047+10\$19.50 © 1996 IOP Publishing Ltd

3047

From the study of the resistivity and Hall coefficient, Chen *et al* [6] also found that the temperature dependence of the Hall coefficient in the 123 system can be scaled to the same functional form (1). They also observed that the characteristic temperature T_{ρ}^{*} , below which $\rho(T)$ deviates from the linear behaviour, and T_{H}^{*} are close to each other and decrease with increasing carrier concentration.



Figure 1. Temperature dependence of the TEP for Bi₂Sr₂Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu₂O_{8+y} ($0 \le x \le 0.7$). For metallic samples the T_S^0 -values are indicated by arrows.

So far scaling was done on materials with $T_c > 0$ and the properties considered are the resistivity, Hall constant, Knight shift and susceptibility. No one has tested the scaling behaviour on non-superconducting samples and moreover the scaling of the TEP results in high- T_c systems has not been addressed so far. In this communication we have analysed the TEP data of Bi-2212 and Tl-2212 systems and found that the normal-state TEP of non-superconducting as well as superconducting samples can be scaled to universal master curves.

In earlier communications we reported the temperature-dependent TEP [3] of the $Bi_2Sr_2Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu_2O_{8+y}$ (Bi-2212) and $Tl_2Ba_2Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu_2O_{8+y}$ (TI-2212) systems. Our results are in good agreement with other published data on polycrystalline and single-crystal samples [2]. In the present paper we use the results of [3] for analysis. The



Figure 2. Temperature dependence of the TEP for $Tl_2Ba_2Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu_2O_{8+y}$ ($0 \le x \le 0.6$). For metallic samples the T_S^0 -values indicated by arrows.



Figure 3. *S*/*S*^{*} versus *T*/*T*^{*}_{*S*} for Bi₂Sr₂Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu₂O_{8+y} samples with x = 0.5 (+), 0.55 (□), 0.6 (▲) and 0.7 (*).

temperature and carrier dependences of *S* for Bi₂Sr₂Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu₂O_{8+y} ($0 \le x \le 0.7$) and Tl₂Ba₂Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu₂O_{8+y} ($0 \le x \le 0.6$) systems [3] are shown in figures 1 and 2, respectively. The carrier concentration in these systems decreases with increasing *x*. For Bi-2212 a metal-to-insulator (M–I) transition occurs at $x = x_c \simeq 0.50$, whereas for the Tl-2212 system a similar M–I transition occurs at $x_c \simeq 0.48$ [10]. Superconductivity appears in these systems for $x < x_c$ (i.e. in the metallic region). Samples for which $d\rho/dT$ is positive and ρ is linear in T at high temperatures are referred to as metallic samples whereas insulating samples are characterized by a negative $d\rho/dT$ and an exponential rise in ρ with decreasing temperature [10].



Figure 4. Variations in T_S^* and S^* with x for Bi₂Sr₂Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu₂O_{8+y} samples. Variation in $(E_c - E_F)/k_B$ (\triangle) with x is also shown (data taken from [10, 12]). To bring the data points within the left-hand scale, 150 K is added to $(E_c - E_F)/k_B$.

For insulating samples the TEP shows a broad peak at a characteristic temperature T_s^* which decreases with decreasing x (figures 1 and 2). We find that, on rescaling T by T_s^* and S by S^* (S^* is the TEP value at $T = T_s^*$), the TEP data of insulating samples can be fitted to a single master curve. The scaled TEP data of Bi₂Sr₂Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu₂O_{8+y} (x = 0.50, 0.55, 0.6 and 0.7) samples are shown in figure 3. For the x = 0.7 sample, T_s^* and S^* are determined by extrapolating the TEP data to high temperatures. For the x = 0.5 sample the data points deviate from the universal curve at low temperatures. The carrier concentration of this sample lies close to the crossover region of the insulator-to-metal transition [10]. We find that the data for samples in the crossover region do not fit with the scaling of either the insulating or the metallic samples.

In figure 4 we have shown the variation in T_s^* and S^* with x and found that both S^* and T_s^* increase with increasing x, i.e. with decreasing carrier concentration.

For metallic Bi samples at high temperatures $(T > T_S^0)$ the TEP follows a linear temperature dependence with negative slope: $S = S_0 - \alpha T$. The temperature T_S^0 , below which the deviation of S from the linearity occurs, shifts to higher values as the carrier concentration decreases (x increases). In figure 5(a) the variation in T_S^0 with x is shown. It increases as x increases. The T_S^0 -values for the Bi-2212 single crystals (estimated from the data of Mandrus *et al* [2(a)]) are also shown in the same figure. For both polycrystalline and single-crystal samples the T_S^0 -values show similar variations with x, indicating that this behaviour is intrinsic. In figure 6 we have plotted the variation in $(S - S_0)/\alpha$ versus T for x = 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4. All the data points fit a universal curve quite well in the high-temperature region. Deviation from the universal curve occurs at a temperature which decreases with increasing carrier concentration of the samples (as expected from the behaviour of T_S^0).

In figure 5(*b*) the variations in S_0 and α with *x* are shown. It is found that S_0 is higher for low-carrier-concentration (high-*x*) samples and it decreases with increasing carrier concentration. α increases linearly with *x*. The variation in $d\rho/dT$, calculated from the high-temperature resistivity data for Bi-2212 [11(*a*)] with *x* is also shown in figure 5(*b*). It is seen that both $d\rho/dT$ and α vary with *x* in a similar fashion. In table 1 we have listed



Figure 5. (a) Variation in T_S^0 with x: *, Bi-2212; \Box , Tl-2212; \bigcirc , Bi-2212 single crystals (see text). (b) Variation in S_0 (μ V K⁻¹) (\bigcirc), α (\triangle) and $d\rho/dT(m\Omega \text{ cm K}^{-1})$ (+) with x for Bi₂Sr₂Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu₂O_{8+y}.

the values of ρ_{300} (the resistivity at 300 K), T_c , $d\rho/dT$ (taken from [10, 11] S_{300} (the TEP at 300 K), S_0 , T_S^0 and α for metallic samples and S_{300} , S^* , and T_S^* and $E_c - E_F$ for insulating samples of the Bi system.

For insulating Tl samples (x = 0.5 and 0.6) we have determined T_S^* and S^* in the same way as in the Bi system. Figure 7 shows the plot of S/S^* versus T/T^* . The scaled data points of the two samples are close to each other. The values of T_S^* and S^* are obtained as 323 K and 285 K, and 188.6 μ V K⁻¹ and 121.8 μ V K⁻¹ for x = 0.6 and 0.5 samples, respectively. Both T_S^* and S^* decrease with increasing carrier concentration.

The TEP data for metallic Tl samples also show a linear temperature dependence: $S = S_0 - \alpha T$, for $T > T_S^0$. The variation in T_S^0 with x is shown in figure 5(a). In figure 8 we plot $(S - S_0)/\alpha$ versus T. It is found that the data of the metallic samples (x = 0-0.25) may be described by a master curve (the TEP data for the x = 0.35 sample do not fit any of the master curves well). Deviation of the data points from the master curve occurs at low temperatures, similar to that observed in the Bi-2212 system. The variations in α , S_0 and $d\rho/dT$ [11(b)] with x for Tl samples are shown in the inset to figure 8. S_0 , α and

Table 1. Various parameters extracted from the resistivity and thermoelectric power results for the $Bi_2Sr_2Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu_2O_{8+y}$ system.

x	$ ho_{300}$ (m Ω cm)	d ho/dT (m Ω cm K ⁻¹)	<i>T_c</i> (K)	$S_{300} \ (\mu V \ K^{-1})$	$S_0 \ (\mu V \ K^{-1})$	T_S^0 (K)	$lpha$ ($\mu V K^{-2}$)
0	1.02	_	65	-6	1.1	_	0.022
0.1	1.20	2.70	71	-0.8	7.7	133.9	0.028
0.2	1.40	2.99	81	2.1	12.3	145.6	0.035
0.3	1.70	3.68	78	6.0	16.8	192.6	0.043
0.4	10.0	—	46	19	34.8	—	0.050
	$E_c - E_F$	S ₃₀₀	<i>S</i> *	T_{S}^{*}			
	(K)	$(\mu V K^{-1})$	$(\mu V \ K^{-1})$	(K)			
0.5	_	41	45.5	190			
0.55		55.8	62.3	226			
0.6	121	75.9	77.5	261			
0.65	150	_	_	_			
0.7	181	157	159.5	340			



Figure 6. Plot of $(S - S_0)/\alpha$ versus T for Bi₂Sr₂Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu₂O_{8+y} with x = 0.0 (**A**), 0.1 (+), 0.2 (**•**), 0.3 (\Box) and 0.4 (*).

 $d\rho/dT$ are large for high x, i.e. for low carrier concentrations, and decrease with increase in the carrier concentration. In table 2 we have presented the values of ρ_{300} , T_c , $d\rho/dT$ (taken from [10, 11]), and S_{300} , S_0 , T_S^0 and α for metallic samples and S_{300} , S^* and T_S^* for insulating samples of the Tl system.

From the scaling of the TEP data for insulating samples of the Bi-2212 and Tl-2212 systems it is found that a characteristic temperature T_s^* exists, which decreases with increasing carrier concentration. The resistivity of these insulating samples ($x > x_c$) of the Bi and Tl systems shows variable-range hopping (VRH) transport in the low-temperature region and activated conduction in the high-temperature region [10, 12]. The resistivity



Figure 7. S/S^* versus T/T_S^* for Tl₂Ba₂Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu₂O_{8+y} with x = 0.5 (\Box) and 0.6 (+).



Figure 8. Plot of $(S - S_0)/\alpha$ versus T for $\text{Tl}_2\text{Ba}_2\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Y}_x\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_{8+y}$ with x = 0.0 (*), 0.1 (\bullet), 0.2 (+) and 0.25 (\blacktriangle). In the inset the variation in S_0 (μ V K⁻¹) (\Box), α (\bigstar) and $d\rho/dT$ (m Ω cm K⁻¹) (+) with x is shown.

results were analysed in terms of Anderson localization [12] within a Fermi glass model [13]. The presence of disorder in a periodic system causes localization of the electronic states in the tails of the conduction band; a mobility edge E_c separates the localized states from the extended states in the electronic band [13]. Zvyagin [14] studied the behaviour of

x	ρ_{300} (m Ω cm)	d ho/dT (m Ω cm K ⁻¹)	<i>Т</i> _с (К)	S ₃₀₀ (μV K ⁻¹)	$S_0 \\ (\mu V \ K^{-1})$	T_S^0 (K)	lpha (μ V K ⁻²)
0	2.58	5.55	103	-3.3	5.9	105	0.03
0.1	3.19	2.99	100	2.5	11.5	140	0.029
0.2	10.14	10.84	92	12.5	29.7	150.5	0.057
0.25	22.0	37.21	70	26.7	46.8	179.6	0.063
0.35				63.6		191.3	
	S ₃₀₀ (μV K ⁻¹)	<i>S</i> * (μV K ⁻¹)	<i>T</i> [*] (K)				
0.5	121.8	121.8	285				
0.6	184.8	188.6	323				

Table 2. Various parameters extracted from the resistivity and thermoelectric power results for the $Tl_2Ba_2Ca_{1-x}Y_xCu_2O_{8+y}$ system.

the TEP in the Fermi glass model and its dependence on T and $E_c - E_F$, where E_F is the Fermi energy. At low temperatures in the VRH region the TEP increases with increasing temperature as $T^{1/2}$. At higher temperatures, in the thermally activated conduction region, the TEP decreases with temperature and follows the relation [13, 14]

$$S = (k/e)(\epsilon/kT + \text{constant})$$
(2)

where $\epsilon = E_c - E_F$. Thus in the Anderson localization picture the TEP passes through a peak as the conduction process changes from the VRH mechanism to thermally activated behaviour. The temperature T_s^* at which the maximum in *S* occurs in insulating Bi and Tl samples may then be interpreted as the temperature above which activated conduction becomes appreciable and should decrease with increasing $E_c - E_F$. The decrease in T_s^* with decreasing *x* (increasing carrier concentration) may be ascribed to the corresponding decrease in $E_c - E_F$ as *x* shifts towards x_c (at $x = x_c$, $E_c - E_F = 0$). Mandal and coworkers [10] and Quitmann *et al* [12] estimated $E_c - E_F$ from the analysis of the resistivity for the Bi system in the thermally activated region and their results agree well with each other to within 10%. It was observed that $E_c - E_F$ increases almost linearly with increasing *x* for x < 0.9. We find that T_s^* increases linearly with increasing *x*. This establishes a linear relation between T_s^* and $E_c - E_F$, which is demonstrated in figure 4.

From the scaling of the TEP data of the metallic Bi and Tl samples it is found that the coefficient α , which describes the slope of the *S*–*T* curve in the linear region, decreases with increasing carrier concentration. The $d\rho/dT$ values for the Bi-2212 and Tl-2212 systems also show similar variations with the carrier concentration. Ito *et al* [4] observed that $(d\rho/dT)^{-1}$ and the Drude spectral weight ω_{pD}^2 show similar doping dependences in the Y-123 system. Considering that

$$\rho = 4\pi/\omega_{pD}^2 \tau \tag{3}$$

 $\omega_{pD}^2 \propto n/m^*$ and $\tau^{-1} \propto T$ in the linear-*T* region of the resistivity, they argued that the change in $d\rho/dT$ for different samples is due to the change in m^*/n , where m^* and *n* are the effective mass and the concentration of the carriers, respectively, and τ is the relaxation time.

It may be mentioned that the TEP of a simple metal is given by [15]

$$S = -\frac{\pi^2 k_B^2 T}{2e\epsilon_F}.$$
(4)

The Fermi energy ϵ_F for a quasi 2D Fermi-liquid system is [16]

$$\epsilon_F = (\hbar^2 \pi d_c) \frac{n}{m^*} \tag{5}$$

where d_c is the interlayer distance. From equations (3)–(5), one obtains that both $d\rho/dT$ and dS/dT are proportional to m^*/n . The similar doping dependences of α (= dS/dT) and $d\rho/dT$ in Bi and Tl samples, then, suggests that the variation in m^*/n with the carrier concentration may be responsible for the observed behaviour. It may be mentioned, however, that the simple formula for S should be taken with caution for metallic samples (even in the linear-T region) of high- T_c systems, which are not simple metals.

In high- T_c oxide systems it has been found from the plasma frequency [17] and the μ SR measurements [18] that n/m^* increases with increasing carrier concentration. This behaviour is consistent with the decreases in α and $d\rho/dT$ with increasing carrier concentration.

For metallic samples of the Bi and Tl systems we observe that the TEP deviates from linear behaviour below a temperature T_S^0 , which is lower for more metallic samples. As mentioned earlier, the resistivities of metallic samples of high- T_c systems show a deviation from linear behaviour below T_{ρ}^* . Both T_{ρ}^* and T_S^0 show similar variations with doping. The deviation of ρ from linearity below T_{ρ}^* is believed to be due to the formation of a spin gap in the spin excitation spectrum [4]. The gap develops well above T_c , as observed in inelastic neutron scattering [19] and NMR Knight shift measurements [20]. Whether the opening of a normal-state pseudo-gap in the charge and spin excitation spectrum is responsible for the deviation of S from linearity is an interesting problem for future study.

In conclusion we have found that the TEP of the Bi-2212 and Tl-2212 systems can be scaled to universal master curves for metallic as well as insulating samples. For insulating samples the characteristic temperature T_S^* decreases with increasing carrier concentration. T_S^* increases linearly with increasing $E_c - E_F$, determined from the resistivity analysis. For metallic samples a characteristic temperature T_S^0 also exists, which decreases with increasing carrier density. The decrease in the scaling factor α with increasing carrier concentration may be attributed to the increase in n/m^* with increasing carrier density.

References

- Cooper J R, Alavi B, Zhou L W, Beyermann W P and Gruner G 1987 *Phys. Rev.* B **35** 8794 Uher C, Kaiser A B, Gmelin E and Walz L 1987 *Phys. Rev.* B **36** 5676 Krylov K R, Ponomarev A I, Tsidilkovski I M, Tsidilnitski V I, Bazuev G V, Kozhevnikov V L and Cheshnitski S M 1988 *Phys. Lett.* **131A** 203 Trodahl H J and Mawdsley A 1987 *Phys. Rev.* B **36** 8881 Matsuura K, Wada T, Yaegashi Y, Suzuki N, Kubo K, Yamauchi H and Tanaka S 1991 *Physica* C **185–9** 1285
 (a) Mandrus D, Forro L, Kendziora C and Mihaly L 1991 *Phys. Rev.* B **44** 2418
- (b) Munakata F, Matsuura K, Kubo K, Kawano T and Yamauchi H 1992 *Phys. Rev.* B 45 10604
 (c) Obertelli S D, Cooper J R and Tallon J L 1992 *Phys. Rev.* B 46 14928
- [3] Mandal J B, Keshri S, Mandal P, Poddar A, Das A N and Ghosh B 1992 *Phys. Rev.* B **46** 11 840 Keshri S, Mandal J B, Mandal P, Poddar A, Das A N and Ghosh B 1993 *Phys. Rev.* B **47** 9048
- [4] Ito T, Takenaka K and Uchida S 1993 Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 3995
- [5] Hwang H Y, Batlogg B, Takagi H, Kao H L, Kwo J, Kava R J, Krajewski J J and Peck W F 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 2636
- [6] Chen N Y, Matijasevic V C, Mooij J E and van der Marel D 1994 Phys. Rev. B 50 16125
- [7] Wuyts B, Moshchalkov V V and Bruynseraede Y 1995 Phys. Rev. B 51 6115
- [8] Yoshizaki R, Ishikawa N, Sawada H, Kita E and Tasaki A 1990 Physica C 166 417
- [9] Zheng G Q, Kuse T, Kitaoka Y, Ishida K, Ohsugi S, Asayama K and Yamada Y 1996 to be published
- Mandal P, Poddar A, Ghosh B and Choudhury P 1991 *Phys. Rev.* B 43 13102
 Poddar A, Mandal P, Das A N, Ghosh B and Choudhury P 1991 *Phys. Rev.* B 44 2757

- [11] (a) Mandal P, Poddar A and Das S 1994 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 6 5689
 (b) Poddar A, Mandal P and Das S 1995 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 7 1351
 (c) Mandal P 1991 PhD Thesis University of Calcutta
- [12] Quitmann C, Andrich D, Jarchow C, Fleuster M, Beschoten B, Guntherodt G, Moshchalkov V V, Mante G and Manzki R 1992 Phys. Rev. B 46 11 813
- [13] Mott N F and Davis E A 1979 Electronic Processes in Non-Crystalline Materials (Oxford: Clarendon)
- [14] Zvyagin I P 1987 J. Non-Cryst. Solids 90 17
- [15] Aschcroft N W and Mermin N D 1976 Solid State Physics (Philadelphia, PA: Saunders)
- [16] Kresin V Z and Wolf S A 1990 Phys. Rev. B 41 4278
- [17] Tanaka S 1989 Phys. Scr. T 27 7, and references therein
- [18] Uemura Y J et al 1989 Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 2317
- [19] Rossat-Mignod J, Regnault L P, Vettier C, Bourges P, Burlet P, Bossy J, Henry J Y and Lapertot G 1991 *Physica C* 185–9 86
- [20] Taranquada J M, Gehring P M, Shirane G, Shamoto S and Sato M 1992 Phys. Rev. B 46 5561